

DOCKET No.
NAI1P066/01.308.01

U.S. PATENT APPLICATION
FOR
SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DETERMINING REAL-
TIME APPLICATION VERB RESPONSE TIMES

ASSIGNEE: NETWORKS ASSOCIATES TECHNOLOGY, INC.

SILICON VALLEY IP GROUP
P.O. Box 721120
SAN JOSE, CA 95172

2009.11.01.04.03

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DETERMINING REAL-TIME APPLICATION VERB RESPONSE TIMES

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to network analysis, and more particularly to monitoring application verb-specific response times.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Numerous tools have been developed to aid in network management involving capacity planning, fault management, network monitoring, and performance measurement. One example of such tools is the network analyzer.

In general, a “network analyzer” is a program that monitors and analyzes network traffic, detecting bottlenecks and problems. Using this information, a network manager can keep traffic flowing efficiently. A network analyzer may also be used to capture data being transmitted on a network. The term “network analyzer” may further be used to describe a program that analyzes data other than network traffic, or may also be used to classify packets into flows. For example, a database can be analyzed for certain kinds of duplication. One example of a network analyzer is the SNIFFER ANALYZER™ device manufactured by NETWORK ASSOCIATES, INC™.

One common task that a network analyzer often performs is the calculation of application response times. In particular, the time between a request to a server application and the fulfillment of the request by the application is measured. Often,

network analyzers have different modes of operation (i.e. real-time mode, “expert” mode, etc.) for measuring application response times.

In a real-time mode, the network analyzer measures response times based purely on a response to the aforementioned requests by way of transport level acknowledgements. Unfortunately, these acknowledgements merely provide an indication that the request has been received by the transport layer of the server and not necessarily by the application. In other words, measuring only acknowledgements for response times tends to give skewed response times because it may not reflect that the request has been fulfilled. Thus, such real-time mode fails to provide an accurate indication of a true response time of an application.

In the expert mode, response time measurements are made “post capture.” In other words, the expert mode first collects network traffic information before measuring response times. Unfortunately, this does not provide real-time results, and is severely limited by many factors that make it somewhat useless during high link utilization. For example, the capture of network traffic information may become overloaded, resulting in a loss of data which, in turn, renders inaccurate calculations. Further, the expert mode is not scaleable.

In both cases, the response times are calculated on a “per-event” basis. Unfortunately, the foregoing modes do not provide any details on a “per conversation” basis, or information relating to upper layer transaction response times (i.e. application verbs, transactions, etc.).

There is thus a need for a technique of measuring response times for particular transactions or transaction types, between two network endpoints in real time. There is further a need to aggregate this collected information with minimum overhead. Still yet,

there is a further need to accomplish this without capturing and storing packets for post analysis.

5

2009.4.10.04.00

DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

A system, method and computer program product are provided for calculating application verb response times. Initially, packet data is received after which the packet data is aggregated into either an existent flow, or a new flow. In use, information is collected relating to application verbs associated with the flow(s). Such information relating to the application verbs is then stored so that it can be used to calculate response times associated therewith.

In the context of the present description, an “application verb” may include any specific application transaction or transaction type.

In one embodiment, it may be determined whether the packet data is associated with a new flow. If the packet data is determined to be associated with a new flow, a flow may be created along with a data structure that may be used to store the aforementioned information relating to the application verbs. In use, the data structure may be inserted into the flow.

In another embodiment, the creation of the data structure may include identifying a protocol identifier associated with the flow, and determining a number of known application verbs associated with the protocol identifier. Further, memory is allocated for the data structure based on the number of known application verbs associated with the protocol identifier. Still yet, the number of application verbs associated with the protocol identifier may be determined utilizing a map. Moreover, the map may also map to a remote monitoring (RMON) protocol tree.

In still yet another embodiment, the aggregation may include updating and populating the aforementioned data structure with the information. Further, application verbs may be identified in the flows. It may also be determined whether the application verbs are valid, so that a state machine may be updated if it is determined that the application verbs are valid.

Also, it is determined whether a response associated with the flows is complete. If it is determined that the response is complete, a response time may be calculated. Further it is determined whether the state machine is in a valid state, and the state machine may be utilized as being representative of the response time if the state machine is in a valid state.

Monitoring response times for application verbs (i.e. transactions, etc.) is important because the application verbs may have varying business significance. This feature thus provides more granularity as to what exactly might be performing poorly in the network.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 illustrates a network architecture, in accordance with one embodiment.

5

Figure 2 shows a representative hardware environment that may be associated with the data servers and computers of Figure 1, in accordance with one embodiment.

Figure 3 illustrates an exemplary framework with which one embodiment may be carried out.

10

Figure 4 illustrates a method for calculating verb-specific response times, in accordance with one embodiment.

Figure 5A illustrates a method for creating a data structure in accordance with operation 412 of Figure 4.

15

Figure 5B illustrates a sample data structure including a plurality of verb portions allocated for a number of application verbs determined in operation 506 of Figure 5A.

20

Figure 6A illustrates a method of aggregating packet data, in accordance with operation 416 of Figure 4.

Figure 6B illustrates some exemplary formulae that may be used to calculate information that, in turn, may be used to populate the data structure.

25

Figures 7-9 illustrate graphical user interfaces that display the information collected to monitor verb-specific application response times.

Figure 10 illustrates an example of operation of one embodiment.

5

Figure 11 illustrates a timeline of events carried out by the psuedocode of Appendix A.

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 99 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 99 |

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Figure 1 illustrates a network architecture **100**, in accordance with one
5 embodiment. As shown, a plurality of networks **102** is provided. In the context of the
present network architecture **100**, the networks **102** may each take any form including,
but not limited to a local area network (LAN), a wide area network (WAN) such as the
Internet, etc.

10 Coupled to the networks **102** are data server computers **104** which are capable of
communicating over the networks **102**. Also coupled to the networks **102** and the data
server computers **104** is a plurality of end user computers **106**. In the context of the
present description, a computer may refer to any web server, desktop computer, lap-top
computer, hand-held computer, printer or any other type of hardware/software.

15 In order to facilitate communication among the networks **102**, at least one
gateway **108** is coupled therebetween. It should be noted that each of the foregoing
network devices as well as any other unillustrated devices may be interconnected by
way of a plurality of network segments. In the context of the present description, a
20 network segment includes any portion of any particular network capable of connecting
different portions and/or components of a network.

Coupled to any one of the foregoing components and/or segments may be a
network analyzer. One exemplary network analyzer that may be used is the SNIFFER
25 ANALYZER™ device manufactured by NETWORK ASSOCIATES, INC™. In use,
the network analyzer is adapted for monitoring and analyzing network traffic, detecting
bottlenecks and problems, etc. In addition to or instead of such functionality, the
network analyzer may be adapted for calculating verb-specific application response

times. In the context of the present description, an “application verb” may include any specific application transaction or transaction type. Examples of application verbs will be set forth later.

5 In use packet data is aggregated to an existent flow if one exists for the conversation that the packet data belong to, or a new flow is created in the absence of such a flow. In the context of the present description, packet data may refer to any information (i.e. fields, performance parameters, descriptors, etc.) associated with the packets and/or the packets themselves. Further, a flow may refer to any representation
10 of communication between at least two points in a network. Next, information is collected relating to application verbs associated with the flows. Such information relating to the application verbs is then stored so that it can be used to calculate response times associated therewith. By this design, a deeper understanding of network traffic is afforded by monitoring response times associated with the application verbs.

15 Figure 2 shows a representative hardware environment that may be associated with the data server computers 104 and/or end user computers 106 of Figure 1, in accordance with one embodiment. Such figure illustrates a typical hardware configuration of a workstation in accordance with a preferred embodiment having a
20 central processing unit 210, such as a microprocessor, and a number of other units interconnected via a system bus 212.

The workstation shown in Figure 2 includes a Random Access Memory (RAM) 214, Read Only Memory (ROM) 216, an I/O adapter 218 for connecting peripheral
25 devices such as disk storage units 220 to the bus 212, a user interface adapter 222 for connecting a keyboard 224, a mouse 226, a speaker 228, a microphone 232, and/or other user interface devices such as a touch screen (not shown) to the bus 212, communication adapter 234 for connecting the workstation to a communication network 235 (e.g., a

data processing network) and a display adapter **236** for connecting the bus **212** to a display device **238**.

The workstation may have resident thereon an operating system such as the Microsoft Windows NT or Windows/95 Operating System (OS), the IBM OS/2 operating system, the MAC OS, or UNIX operating system. It will be appreciated that a preferred embodiment may also be implemented on platforms and operating systems other than those mentioned. A preferred embodiment may be written using JAVA, C, and/or C++ language, or other programming languages, along with an object oriented programming methodology. Object oriented programming (OOP) has become increasingly used to develop complex applications.

Figure 3 illustrates an exemplary framework **300** with which the present embodiment may be carried out. As shown, provided is an engine **302**, application performance monitoring (APM) module **303**, verb count map **304**, remote monitoring (RMON) protocol tree **306**, data structure **308**, and a state machine **310**.

The engine **302** may include an extended 'C' code engine adapted for packet classification. As an option, the engine **302** may be deployed in an operating system kernel, so that packet classification and the verb-specific application response time calculations may be made in real-time or at near real-time. In use, the engine **302** measures application response times on a per-flow basis using packets. Further, these values may be computed after checking for retransmissions and out-of-sequence packets.

The APM module **303** is embedded in the engine **302**. The state machine **310** (i.e. APM_State_Machine) may be embedded in the corresponding flow, and the code to update the state machine **310** is embedded in the engine **302** since it is part of the APM

module **303**. In order to do this in a spatially efficient manner, the state machine **310** may optionally be stored as a bit vector. This bit vector may be of size 32 bits, for example, and may further be stamped as a part of the flow creation by the engine **302**. For reasons that will soon become apparent, the four (4) least significant bits (LSBs) of the bit vector may be used to index the last seen verb.

The remaining twenty eight (28) bits of the state machine **310** may be logically mapped to a node of the state machine **310**, and represent the states that the application has gone through as the packets for the conversation are seen. To this end, the state machine **310** is designed to track the last seen verb and states that are traversed for the conversation flow. In use, the state machine **310** is updated when a packet is classified for a conversation flow.

It should be noted that the state machine **310** works on the assumption that there can be one outstanding request (verb) at any given time. However, this can be worked around if the response has sufficient information to identify the request to which it belongs.

The data structure **308** may be adapted for storing information relating to the application verbs and the state machine **310**. As will soon become apparent, formulae may be used to generate such information that may be used for traffic trending, analysis and base-lining in a very efficient manner.

For example, the information stored in the data structure **308** may reflect the aggregated count of the application verbs, the min/max, the sum and sum of squares of the response times, and/or any other information capable of being used to calculate verb-specific response times. With this information available, values for various

RMON Application Response Time (ART) buckets may be calculated using normal distribution formulae.

To accomplish this, the verb count map **304** and the RMON protocol tree **306** may be used to create the data structure **308** and enable RMON capabilities. More information relating to such components will be set forth hereinafter in greater detail.

The APM module **303** is capable of updating the data structure **308** with information providing insight into application verb response times. After the APM module **303** caches this information, it determines if the state machine **310** is in a valid reportable state and if the cache has a valid value. If both these conditions hold true, the data structure **308** is populated and is stored as an offshoot of the flow, at the index as given by the verb in the last valid state of the state machine **310**. The data structure **308** is thus an aggregation point for verb-specific measurements. An example of such operation will be set forth hereinafter during reference to the following figures.

The APM module **303** thus “piggybacks” off of existing response time measurements and provides the ability to better diagnose problems in the network, with minimal additional code and fast computation.

As an option, a RMON abstraction layer may be provided for the addition of the application verbs to the RMON protocol tree **306**. In other words, identifiers (i.e. OIDs) may be assigned to the application verbs. Assigning the identifiers to the application verbs and making them a part of the RMON protocol tree **306** gives a hierarchical structure to the performance measurements. As a part of the retrieval of the flow, the application verbs may be mapped to the RMON OIDs and then used to populate a corresponding RMON OID table **309**. This allows the seamless integration of the verb specific measurements, and allows them to be aggregated in RMON tables just as any

other RMON data. Any RMON probe using a similar infrastructure and technology may then be able to benefit from the present embodiment.

Figure 4 illustrates a method 400 for calculating verb-specific response times, in accordance with one embodiment. As an option, the present method 400 may be carried out in the context of the framework 300 of Figure 3. For example, the various operations of method 400 may be carried out by the APM module 303 piggybacking on the engine 302. Of course, however, the present method 400 may also be used in any desired architectural environment.

Initially, in operation 402, packet data is received. A source of the packet data may depend on the specific component of a network the present embodiment is installed. Just by way of example, the packet data may be received from a network segment in the case where the present embodiment is installed on a router. Once received, the packet data is classified in operation 404. The packet data may be classified based on various fields (i.e. source, destination, etc.), or by any other mechanism capable of identifying a flow with which the packet data is associated.

It is then determined whether the packet data is associated with a new flow, or if the packet data is associated with an existing flow. See decision 406. If the packet data is associated with a new flow, a plurality of operations is carried out to create and monitor the new flow.

For example, the flow is initially created in operation 408 after which a notification of the flow is made in operation 410. This notification serves to prompt the creation of a data structure such as that set forth earlier during reference to Figure 3. See operation 412. More information on an exemplary data structure and method for creating the same will be set forth during reference to Figures 5 and 5B.

Once the data structure has been created in operation **412**, the data structure is inserted in the flow in operation **414**. This allows the identification and use of the information relating to the application verbs to calculate response times. Returning to operation **406**, packet data associated with existing flows may be aggregated in operation **416** using the data structure generated and inserted in the foregoing operations. More information on such aggregation will be set forth in greater detail during reference to Figure 6A.

Figure **5A** illustrates a method **500** for creating a data structure in accordance with operation **412** of Figure 4. In operation **502**, a protocol identifier associated with the flow is identified. Such protocol identifier may include any identifier capable of distinguishing and identifying a particular protocol [i.e. Oracle, hypertext protocol (HTTP), file transfer protocol (FTP), etc.].

Next, in operation **504**, the protocol identifier is cached so that it can be used to determine a number of application verbs associated with the protocol identifier. Note operation **506**. This may be accomplished utilizing a verb count map. See, for example, the verb count map **304** in Figure 3. Such verb count map includes a number of known application verbs known to exist for each protocol associated with the protocol identifiers. Thus, such number may be looked up using the protocol identifier.

For reasons that will soon become apparent, the verb count map **304** further maps the application verbs to a RMON protocol tree (i.e. see tree **306** of Figure 3).

Next, in operation **508**, memory is allocated for the data structure based on the number of known application verbs associated with the protocol identifier.

Figure **5B** illustrates a sample data structure **550** including a plurality of verb portions **552** allocated for a number of application verbs determined in operation **506** of Figure **5A**. Also included is a state machine portion **554** for storing the contents of the state machine that is used during the aggregation operation **416** of Figure **4** in a manner that will soon become apparent. As an option, a protocol identifier portion **556** may be provided for tracking any child protocols. Once created, the data structure may be inserted in an associated flow **558**, as indicated earlier in operation **414** of Figure **4**.

Figure **6A** illustrates a method **600** of aggregating packet data, in accordance with operation **416** of Figure **4**. Initially, in operation **604**, a verb associated with the current existing flow is identified.

It is then determined whether the verb is valid in decision **606**. A verb is valid based on predetermined criteria. For example, an acknowledgement (ACK) may not be a valid verb, while a GET request may be considered valid. In general, the criteria may be such that the only verbs that are monitored are those for which response times are desired.

If the verb is valid (i.e. a known application verb), the state machine is updated in operation **608**. It is then determined in operation **610** as to whether the response is complete. This may be accomplished by using the state machine associated with the data structure. If the response is not complete, the method **600** continues in operation **604**. If it is complete, however, a response time is calculated in operation **612** using the information in the data structure (this may be done by the engine or any other mechanism being deployed).

Subsequently, it is determined whether the state machine is in a valid state in decision **614**. It should be noted that the state machine takes into account various errors,

complications, network mishaps, etc. that leave the state machine in a detectable invalid end state. Thus, only if the state machine is in a valid state, is it utilized as being representative of the response time. See operation **616**.

5 The measured response time is then used to update the data structure. This may be accomplished by collecting information associated with the verb and inserting the same in the data structure. For example, various formulae may be used. Figure **6B** illustrates some exemplary formulae **650** that may be used to calculate information that, in turn, may be used to populate the data structure. Of course, any desired information
10 may be used which is capable of facilitating the calculation of verb-specific application response times.

More information relating to an example of such operation will be set forth during reference to Appendix A and Figure **11**.

15 Figures **7-9** illustrate graphical user interfaces that display the information collected to monitor verb-specific application response times. Figure **7** illustrates how an exemplary RMON ART protocol tree **700** looks, in accordance with one embodiment. As shown, each base protocol **702** is capable of expanding into a sub-tree
20 **704**. Green indicators **706** indicate that traffic is being seen on particular trees.

The graphical user interface of Figure **7** further includes a right-hand side frame **708** in which information may be shown for the verb that is selected in the window in which the tree **700** resides. This information may include a server address, a client
25 address, an average response time, and a plurality of “buckets.” Each bucket reflects a category of packet data that meet predetermined response time criteria. Listed in each bucket is a number of packets that meet the associated criteria. Criteria may include, but is not limited to a response time minimum, a response time maximum, etc.

Figure 8 illustrates an expanded wildcard-ether2-ip-v4 tree 800. A highlight 802 is on a “www-http” application. As indicated by the arrow, the response time for ‘GET’ (an application verb) for HTTP may be either in this tree 800 as one of the sub-trees (as shown here) or in the sub-protocol of the parent protocol, as shown in Figure 9. Figure 9 illustrates another way 900 to represent the foregoing as the sub-tree of one of the sub-protocols of the parent protocol. In either case, the data to be displayed is the same, as seen in the right-hand side frame. In use, this information may be shown for the verb that is selected for display. These are derived from the TransactionPM_Store using the formulae 650 of Figure 6B.

Figure 10 illustrates an example 1000 of operation of the present embodiment. As shown, packets 1002 are received at a packet ingress 1004. Next, packet analysis 1006 is conducted after which a flow creation notification 1008 takes place upon the creation of a new flow. In response to such notification, a data structure is created and inserted via an application performance monitoring (APM) module 1010.

Further, existing flows are monitored for application verbs using the APM module 1010. Note operation 1012. Upon identification of application verbs, a data structure 1014 is populated and stored in a database 1016 for calculation of verb-specific response times. After the packet data is monitored in such a manner, the packet data is processed in a conventional manner utilizing packet processing applications 1018.

Appendix A illustrates exemplary pseudocode associated with one embodiment. Figure 11 illustrates a timeline 1100 of events carried out by the pseudocode of Appendix A to calculate a verb-specific response time.

Appendix A

Pseudo Code:

The State Machine:

APM_State_Machine has::

 Last_Seen_Verb_Or_Transaction_ID

The Data Structure For Aggregation:

TransactionPM_store has ::

 Array_Of_Aggregation_Points_For_Verbs

 Protocol_Identifier

APM_State_Machine

TransactionPM_store::Array_Of_Aggregation_Points_For_Verbs
is indexed by **State**

Machine::Last_Seen_Verb_Or_Transaction_ID. (This works on
the premise that each flow will see either HTTP verbs or
Oracle verbs, for example, and not both. In cases where a
flow may see more than one application verbs, these will
have to be grouped and given indices that are cumulative.

The following is the packet classification routine that
understands the application verbs / transaction ids.

packet_classification_routine()

```
{
    |
    |
    |   If application verb or transaction is identified
    |   update
    |   APM_State_Machine::Last_Seen_Verb_Or_Transaction_ID, with the verb (depending upon
    |   application type) or transaction id in the
    |   packet - APM_SHIM.
    |
}
```

The following routine computes non-verb/transaction
specific application performance measurements.

non_verb_specific_PM_computation()

```
{
    |
    |   delta = response_time_that_is_computed;
    |   our_cache = delta;           // This is where we
    |                                   cache the computed
}
```

5

10

15

20

25

30

}

35

40